Introducing WP 3: Evaluation

Kick off workshop

Berlin, 5-6 June 2013

Bea Vuylsteke & Christiana Nöstlinger

Who are we?

- ITM (Antwerp, Belgium)is an institute for research, training and service delivery
- Expertise: Research and development in HIV prevention
- Belgian, European and international projects
- e.g. Eurosupport; HIV prevention among key populations (sex-workers in Ivory Coast)
- Partner: University of Applied Sciences and Arts Northwestern Switzerland, School of Social Work

Objectives of WP 3

- Evaluation of the Joint Action:
  - Are the planned outputs and the expected outcomes achieved?
  - Is the chosen approach fit for purpose and used and supported by the stakeholders? How do the stakeholders evaluate the use of the tools?
  - Future potential?

- Application of a quality assessment/improvement (QA/QI) tool to the Joint Action

Evaluation plan


WP6: Practical Application
WP5: Capacity Building
Participation
Self-reflection
WP4: Tools
Plan
Do
Act
Check
Standards
Quality
WP7: Principles and Criteria
WP8: Policy Development


WP6: Practical Application
WP5: Capacity Building
Participation
Self-reflection
WP4: Tools
Plan
Do
Act
Check
Standards
Quality
WP7: Principles and Criteria
WP8: Policy Development


WP6: Practical Application
WP5: Capacity Building
Participation
Self-reflection
WP4: Tools
Plan
Do
Act
Check
Standards
Quality
WP7: Principles and Criteria
WP8: Policy Development
Starting environment

Starting environment

Objectives	Setting scene Experience with QA/QI
When	M4 (now!)
Who	WP3
How	On line questionnaire (*) Qualitative interviews

* http://fs10.formsite.com/formulierenITG/form330/index.html



Pre-test new tools

Pre-test of new tools

Objectives	Feasibility and performance → adjustment
When	M14-M30
Who	WP4
How	TBD



Evaluation training

Evaluation trainings

Objectives	Knowledge and skills of participants
When	M14-M15; M21-M22 Continuous (e-learning)
Who	WP5
How	Pre- and Post test of participants



Eval applications

Evaluation applications

Objectives	Quality improvement ? Approach adapted ?
When	Cycles between M14-M30 During training 2 (M21-M22)
Who	WP6 + implementing partners
How	Story boards QA/QI Reports Questionnaires Interviews, focus group discussions


WP6: Practical Application
WP5: Capacity Building
Participation
Self-reflection
WP4: Tools
Plan
Do
Act
Check
Standards
Quality
WP7: Principles and Criteria
WP8: Policy Development
Outcome evaluation

Outcome evaluation

Objectives	Has project achieved its expected outcomes?
When	M30-M36
Who	WP3
How	Combined methods Collected data


WP6: Practical Application
WP5: Capacity Building
Participation
Self-reflection
WP4: Tools
Plan
Do
Act
Check
Standards
Quality
WP7: Principles and Criteria
WP8: Policy Development
Monitoring

Monitoring progress and output

Objectives	Are activities being implemented and outputs produced as planned ?
When	M1-M36
Who	WP1-WP3-WP4-WP5-WP6 Implementing partners
How	Activity reports Workshop evaluation Collected data

Data
terabytes
tools
store
now
query
big
mobile
time
databases
compression
Twitter
Hadoop
NoSQL
SQL
support
database
big-data
new
storage
data
processing
information
analysis
analyses
example
column-store

Guiding principles

- Participatory
- Not invasive
- Existing forms
- User friendly questionnaires
- Confidentiality
- Anonymity

Summary

- Evaluation is a cornerstone of the Quality Action
- Not a simple before-after activity
- Combination of methods
- Input of partners crucial
- All feedback welcome

- Any questions ?

http://fs10.formsite.com/formulierenITG/form331/index.html

Thank you !